UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,776	09/18/2003	Amit Haller	1005-38-01 USP	1541	
	7590 03/24/200 SON FARHADIAN		EXAMINER		
CENTURY IP		ZEWARI, SAYED T			
P.O. BOX 7333 NEWPORT BE	о ЕАСН, СА 92658-7333		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/666,	776	HALLER ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		SAYED	T. ZEWARI	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORT WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F 'ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N of time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this com If or reply is specified above, the maximum s ply within the set or extended period for repl ceived by the Office later than three months int term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATIOn Event, however, may a reply be the will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Explication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sind	ponsive to communication(s) fil action is FINAL . e this application is in condition ed in accordance with the pract	2b)☐ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pr		e merits is			
Disposition o	f Claims							
4a) 0 5)☐ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)☐ Clai	m(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the of the above claim(s) is/am(s) is/am(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from c						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate				

Art Unit: 2617

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/19/2007 have been fully considered but they

are not persuasive.

application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

3. The amended claims are not changed in scope and thus the previous references

still remain valid. Further, in the claims, the applicant claims "a memory capable of...", a

network "...capable of ...". In a similar manner, the references disclose all the functional

components that allow them to be capable of all the functionalities of the applicant's

invention. For example, the references disclose a memory, processor, networks etc.

that are fully capable of the performing the functions claimed by the applicants. Thus the

scope of the claims are not changed and still met by the previous references.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for

patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,776

Art Unit: 2617

5. Claim 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rautila (US 6714797).

Page 3

With respect to claim 17, Rautila discloses a method for communicating with a wired area network (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62), comprising: generating a first short-range radio message inherently including a first address and a first port number for the wide area network, by a first terminal, in a short distance wireless network (See Rautila's figure 4, col. 5 lines 61-67, col.6 lines 1-40, see relevant information: col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62); receiving, by the way of wireless device, the first short-range radio message (See Rautila's figure 5-6, col.6 lines 41-67, col.7 lines 1-20, see relevant info: figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62); determining whether the device is attached to the first inherent port number, generating a signal, by way of the device, requesting a first service from the cellular network responsive to the first short-range radio message (See Rautila's figure 4, col. 5 lines 61-67, col.6 lines 1-40); generating a second short-range radio message inherently including a second address and a second port number for the wide area network, by a second terminal, in a short distance wireless network (See Rautila's figure 4, col. 5 lines 61-67, col.6 lines 1-40, see relevant information: col.2 lines **55-56**, **col.3** lines **1-38**, **col.4** lines **13-40**, lines **41-62**); receiving, by way of the device, the second short-range radio message (See Rautila's figure 5-6, col.6 lines 41-67, col.7 lines 1-20, see relevant info: figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62); determining whether the device is attached to the inherent

second port number; generating a signal, by way of the device, requesting a second service from the wide area network responsive to the second short-range radio message, and allowing a plurality of terminal devices to connect to the short distance wireless network, by way of the device, to concurrently obtain the first service and the second service from the wire area network, wherein the short distance wireless network is capable of being simultaneously attached to the wire area network, by way of the device, using the first address and the second address (See Rautila's figure 4, col. 5 lines 61-67, col.6 lines 1-40).

With respect to claim 18, Rautila discloses a method wherein the first terminal is a messaging terminal and the device is a cellular telephone (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-8, 11-12, 14, and 19-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rautila (US 6714797) in view of Brassil et al. (US 7212785).

Art Unit: 2617

With respect to claim 1, Rautila discloses a wireless handheld device (See Rautila's figure 2, col.3 lines 55-56, col.5 lines 9-22), comprising: a processor (See Rautila's figure 2(230), col.3 lines 55-56, col.5 lines 9-22); and, a memory, coupled to the processor, capable to store a software component (See Rautila's figure 2(240), col.3 lines 55-56, col.5 lines 9-22). Rautila also discloses attaching a short distance wireless network to a wide area network having a first address providing a first service and a second address providing a second service (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62). However, Rautila does not specifically disclose simultaneously attaching a short distance wireless network to a wide area network. But Brassil discloses this limitation (See Brassil's abstract, col.1 lines 5-31, 40-55, figure 1 and 2, col. 3 lines 13-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Rautila and combine it with that of Brassil, thereby providing a method of simultaneously attaching a short range wireless network to a wide area network, as disclosed by Brassil (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62).

With respect to claim 22, Rautila discloses a method for communicating with a cellular network (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62), comprising the steps of: receiving, by way of a wireless device, a plurality of short-range radio messages, from a respective plurality of terminals, in a short distance wireless network for a plurality of respective services in the wide area network (See Rautila's figure 5-6, col.6 lines 41-67, col.7 lines 1-20, see relevant

info: figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62).

However, Rautila does not specifically disclose simultaneously attaching simultaneously to the respective services, by way of the device, responsive to requests. But Brassil discloses this limitation (See Brassil's abstract, col.1 lines 5-31, 40-55, figure 1 and 2, col. 3 lines 13-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Rautila and combine it with that of Brassil, thereby providing a method of simultaneously attaching to respective services, as disclosed by Brassil (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62).

Page 6

With respect to claim 23, Rautila discloses a system for communication between a wide area network and a short distance wireless network (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62), comprising the steps of:

a hand-held wireless device(See Rautila's figure 2, col.3 lines 55-56, col.5 lines 9-22), including: a first transceiver to communicate with the wide are network (See Rautila's figure 2(220), col.3 lines 55-56, col.5 lines 9-22); a second transceiver to communicate with short distance wireless network (See Rautila's figure 2(210), col.3 lines 55-56, col.5 lines 9-22), including to receive a first short-range radio message having a first address and a second short-range radio message having a second address (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62);

Art Unit: 2617

a memory, coupled to the first and second radio transceivers, to store a software component to transfer a plurality of packets to the first address and the second address responsive to the first and second short-range radio messages (See Rautila's figure 2(240), col.3 lines 55-56, col.5 lines 9-22); and, a first wireless device to generate the first and second short-range radio messages (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62). However, Rautila does not specifically disclose simultaneously transfer plurality of packets to the first address and the second APN responsive to the inherent first and second short range radio messages. But Brassil discloses this limitation (See Brassil's abstract, col.1 lines 5-31, 40-55, figure 1 and 2, col. 3 lines 13-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Rautila and combine it with that of Brassil, thereby providing a method of simultaneously transfer a plurality of packets, as disclosed by Brassil (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62).

Page 7

With respect to claim 25, Rautila discloses an article of manufacture, including a computer readable medium, comprising: a first short-range radio software component to provide a short-range radio signal in a short distance wireless network (See Rautila's figure 5-6, col.6 lines 41-67, col.7 lines 1-20, see relevant info: figure 2(240), col.3 lines 55-56, col.5 lines 9-22); a second software component to provide a communication signal in a wide area network (See Rautila's figure 4, col. 5 lines 61-67, col.6 lines 1-40, see relevant information: col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62); and, a third software component to transfer a plurality of

packets between the short distance wireless network and the wide are network, by way of a device, responsive to a first short-range radio message including a first address and first port number and a second short-range radio message including a second address and a second port number. (See Rautila's figure 2(240), col.3 lines 55-56, col.5 lines 9-22). However, Rautila does not specifically disclose simultaneously transfer plurality of packets to the first APN and the second APN responsive to the inherent first and second short range radio messages. But Brassil discloses this limitation (See Brassil's abstract, col.1 lines 5-31, 40-55, figure 1 and 2, col. 3 lines **13-31).** Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Rautila and combine it with that of Brassil, thereby providing a method of simultaneously transfer a plurality of packets, as disclosed by Brassil (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62).

Page 8

With respect to claim 2, Rautila discloses a device wherein the first and second addresses identify a domain providing respective predetermined privileges (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56).

With respect to claim 3, Rautila discloses a device wherein the first and second addresses are access point names ("APNs") (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56).

With respect to claim 4, Rautila discloses a device wherein the first and second addresses inherently include a first and second port number (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56).

With respect to claim 5, Rautila discloses a device wherein the first service provides a wireless application protocol ("WAP") (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56).

With respect to claim 6, Rautila discloses a device wherein the first service provides access to the Internet (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56).

With respect to claim 7, Rautila discloses a device wherein the first service provides a hypertext transfer ("HTTP") protocol (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56).

With respect to claim 8, Rautila discloses a device wherein the first service is a multimedia messaging Service Center ("MMSC") (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56).

With respect to claim 11, Rautila discloses a device wherein the software component selectively attaches response to a first terminal in the short distance wireless network communicating with the device (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56, col.3 lines 1-38, col.4 lines 13-40, lines 41-62).

With respect to claim 12, Rautila discloses a device wherein the communicating includes the terminal inherently transmitting an IP message including a port number (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56).

With respect to claim 14, Rautila discloses a device wherein the short distance wireless network is a Bluetooth TM wireless local area network (See Rautila's figure 2, col.2 lines 5-40).

With respect to claim 19, Rautila discloses a device wherein the wide area network is a Global System for Mobile communications ("GSM") cellular network and the first service is a WAP service and the second service is Internet access (See Rautila's figure 1, col.2 lines 55-56).

With respect to claim 20, 21, and 24, the above combinations disclose all their limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 9, 10, 15, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rautila (US 6714797) in view of well-known prior art (MPEP 2144.03).

With respect to claim 9, the above references disclose all the limitations of the claim upon which claim 9 depend. The references do not disclose attaching includes establishing a dial-up network session. However, an official notice is taken that the concept and use of a dial-up network establishing a network session are well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide method of establishing network connection using dial-up.

Art Unit: 2617

With respect to claim 10 and 15, the above references disclose all the limitations of the claim upon which claim 10 depend. The references do not disclose attaching includes establishing a short-range LAN access profile session. However, an official notice is taken that the concept and use of a short-range LAN establishing a network session are well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide method of establishing network connection using short-range LAN.

With respect to claim 13, the above references disclose all the limitations of the claim upon which claim 13 depend. The references do not disclose the cellular network being a GSM network. However, an official notice is taken that the concept and use of a GSM network as a cellular network well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a GSM network as the cellular network.

With respect to claim 16, the above references disclose all the limitations of the claim upon which claim 16 depend. The references do not disclose a table of available APNs. However, an official notice is taken that the concept and use of a table for available APNs are well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a table for available APNs.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2617

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAYED T. ZEWARI whose telephone number is (571)272-6851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sayed T Zewari/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

March 15, 2008

/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617